Follow-up Student Survey Report: Prepared for the Training Strategy Project



Prepared by: Barry Forer, Jane Beach, and Kathleen Flanagan

Prepared for the: Child Care Human Resources Sector Council 151 Slater St, Suite 714 Ottawa, ON K1P 5H3 Phone: (613) 239-3100 or Toll-free: 1-866-411-6960 E-mail: info@ccsc-cssge.ca

www.ccsc-cssge.ca

Canada

Funded by the Government of Canada's Sector Council Program

The opinions and interpretations in this publication are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the Government of Canada

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Profile of Respondents to the Student Follow-up Survey	4
3.	Notable Trends	9
	Preparation to Work with Various Groups	9
	Perceived Adequacy of Different Aspects of the Curriculum	11
	Ease or Difficulty Finding a Job After Graduation	13
	Annual Income	13
Л	Concluding Commonto	14
4.	Concluding Comments	14
	Appendix 1: ECE Student Follow-up Questionnaire	

1. INTRODUCTION

This report is one of a number of background documents prepared for the Child Care Human Resources Sector Council Training Strategy Project. It describes the findings from the Follow-up Survey of former ECE students from 10 post secondary institutions (PSI) across Canada. These students were initially surveyed in 2003 as part of the Labour Market Update study, at a time when these students were in the final year of their programs.

The report is organized into three sections:

- An introduction to the Training Strategy Project and the student Follow-up survey
- A profile of the former students who participated in the survey
- Summary comments and conclusions

The Training Strategy Project

The Child Care Human Resources Sector Council (CCHRSC), with the support of Human Resources and Social Development Canada (HRSDC), conducted the Training Strategy Project to develop a sustainable and effective strategy to improve the quality and consistency of training for the early learning and child care sector in Canada.

The Training Strategy Project (TSP) built on the results and findings of two pan-Canadian child care sector studies: *Our Child Care Workforce: From Recognition to Remuneration* (1998) and the Labour Market Update *Working for Change: Canada's Child Care Workforce* (2004) as well as international and national research findings about the need for accessible and relevant post-secondary training and professional development opportunities for early childhood educators. The TSP aimed to better understand current ECE training and needs in each province and territory, identify innovative practices as well as gaps in training, and develop options to meet the current and future training needs of the child care workforce.

In order to gain an understanding of current needs and trends with respect to training, the TSP was interested in learning about the experiences and perspectives of students and faculty in Early Childhood Education (ECE) programs at selected post secondary institutions. Early Childhood Education (ECE) students and faculty – along with employers and other important stakeholders – all played key roles throughout the TSP, by their participation in student and faculty surveys, focus groups and key informant interviews. As well, the TSP conducted a literature review and environmental scan to identify current trends and practices.

The 2006 Student Follow-up Survey

The 2006 Student Follow-up Survey was undertaken as one of three surveys conducted during the first phase of the Training Strategy Project. The two other surveys included one of students from eight post secondary institutions across the country, who were in

the final year of an Early Childhood Education (ECE) credential; and one of ECE faculty from 17 post secondary institutions.

Return Rate

Of the 527 original respondents to the student questionnaire in the Labour Market Update study in the spring of 2003, 332 (63%) gave consent to be re-contacted in the future for a follow-up survey. These students provided their names and mailing addresses; many provided their email addresses, and a few provided their telephone numbers. As part of the TSP, an attempt was made to contact all 332 of these students to ask them to participate in the TSP Follow-up Survey either by mail (paper-and-pencil version), or by email (web-based version). Despite best efforts, and largely due to the expected high level of mobility of students after graduation, only 76 replies were received during the survey period of March 2006 to August 2006. The final number of responding students was 72, of whom 52 completed the paper-and-pencil version and 20 who filled out the survey online. The return rate for this survey, therefore, was 22% of those who agreed three years ago to be contacted. The number of respondents from each post secondary institution is shown in Table 1 below.

Former Students Participating in the 2006 Student Follow-up Survey, by College				
College	Number of			
	Respondents			
College of the North Atlantic, NL	22			
CEGEP Jonquière, PQ	3			
CEGEP Ste-Foy, PQ	0			
Université du Québec à Montréal, QC	0			
George Brown College, ON	17			
Red River College, MB	11			
Grant MacEwan College, AB	4			
Red Deer College, AB	4			
University College of Fraser Valley, BC	5			
Vancouver Community College, BC	4			
Other (respondent did not identify college)	2			
Total Respondents	72			

Representativeness of the Sample

The 72 respondents resemble the original group of 527 students in some ways but not others. Both samples were 97% female, and the average age of respondents increased by three years, from 25 to 28, over the three-year period between surveys. However, compared to the original group, proportionately fewer follow-up survey respondents grew up speaking languages other than English (23% vs. 38% in the LMU survey), or were born outside of Canada (13% vs. 27% in the LMU survey). Only 4% of the TSP respondents were from Quebec PSIs, compared to 17% in the LMU survey. Students from the College of the North Atlantic made up 31% of the follow-up sample, twice as high as three years earlier.

Survey Items

The 2006 Student Follow-up Survey included 33 items designed to answer information about:

- Type of credential received (if any)
- Current ECE-related employment status
- For those not currently working in ECE:
 - Reasons and likelihood of future ECE work
- Characteristics of current ECE work
 - Work setting, hours, how permanent, normal job responsibilities, ages of children
- Second job status and characteristics
- Usefulness of skills learned in ECE program
- ECE-related work before and during ECE training
- Ease of finding a job in the ECE field
- Preparedness at graduation
- Relevance of curriculum to skills required
- Involvement in professional development activities
- Further education since graduation from ECE
- Adequacy of current provincial regulations regarding training
- Future plans
- Demographics

2. PROFILE OF RESPONDENTS TO THE STUDENT FOLLOW-UP SURVEY

Respondents

- There were 72 respondents to the survey (return rate approximately 22% of those giving consent in 2003)
- Respondents represented eight of the 10 PSIs from the LMU study
- Former students from College of the North Atlantic, George Brown College, and Red River College made up 69% of the sample

Respondent Demographics

- ➢ 97% female
- > Age:
 - o 33% under 25 years old
 - o 36% 25 to 34 years old
 - o 17% 35 to 44 years old
 - 13% 45 years old or more
- 87% born in Canada
- Language while growing up
 - o 87% English
 - o 9% French
 - o 14% Other

Credential Received at the End of the Program

- > 10% Certificate
- > 79% Diploma
- ➢ 3% AEC or DEC
- > 7% Did not complete the program
- 1% Not yet finished the program

Employment Status for Those Completing Their Program

- 81% were currently working for pay
- > 89% of these in an ECE-related job
- Of the 11% not in an ECE-related job, only one had never worked in an ECE-related job since completing the program

19% were currently not working for pay

- Main reason for not working evenly split between:
 - Going to school
 - o Taking maternity leave
 - Staying at home with children

Employment Status for Those Not Completing the Program (N=6)

- Five of the six were working, all in an ECErelated job
 - 3 in a regulated setting
 - 2 in a non-regulated setting

For Those Not Currently in an ECE-Related Job

- Only one had never worked in an ECErelated setting since leaving the program
- Main reasons for not currently working in ECE:
 - Didn't like some aspect of ECE work
 - ECE jobs did not pay enough
 - Personal circumstances
- Likelihood of working in ECE in the future
 - o 40% very likely
 - o 40% somewhat likely

Job Characteristics, for Those Currently Working in an ECE-Related Setting	Current Second Jobs
 Type of settings: 91% in regulated child care settings, of which: 82% full-day child care centre 20% nursery school or preschool 16% school age only 2% regulated FCC provider 2% pre Kindergarten or Kindergarten 20% in non-regulated settings, of which 18% Kindergarten 	 13% of those currently working in an ECE-related setting were working in a second job Second job was most often at the same time of year as the ECE-related job Number of hours in second job Median 11.3, range 1.5 to 40 hours Only one respondent had an ECE-related second job
 18% family resource program 9% each various settings 	
 9% each, various settings Months of work per year: 79% - 12 months of the year 15% - 10 months of the year Hours of work per week: median 35.0, mean 37.2, range 10 to 85 89% had permanent jobs 11% had temporary jobs Normal job responsibilities: 74% work directly with children 28% supervise staff 28% do administrative work 35% provide support duties Of those working directly with children: 26% work with infants 30% work with toddlers 	 ECE-Related Jobs Before or During ECE Training 66% of respondents were working for pay in an ECE-related job: 3% before training only 17% during training only 46% before and during training Of those working before and/or during training, 63% were currently working at the same location Of those still at the same location: 52% had been promoted 48% received a wage increase 28% changed to a different, more preferred position 28% had no job changes
 66% work with preschool age children 38% work with school age children 	
 17% work with mixed age groups 	
 8% work with varying age groups 	Usefulness of ECE Program in Performing ECE-Related JobRatings were very positive> 79% rated as "very useful" the knowledge and skills gained in the program, and 19% gave a rating of "somewhat useful"
 How Easy to Find ECE Job After Graduating 59% rated finding an ECE-related job as "very easy", with 31% rating "somewhat easy" 	 Usefulness ratings were similar, regardless of whether the ECE work setting was in regulated child care or a related setting

- easy", with 31% rating "somewhat easy" 80% of respondents needed two months or less (63% less than one month) to find an ECE position \triangleright



Strongly agree Somewhat agree Somewhat disagree Strongly disagree

Areas of Desired Additional Training	Perceived Adequacy of Provincial/Territorial Regulations
 Top five most frequent open-ended responses: Guiding children's behaviour Children with special needs Communicating with parents Human resource management Financial management 	 The majority of respondents thought that provincial/territorial regulations are adequate, but less so for directors than for front-line staff 15% - the length of ECE training is not adequate for front-line staff 43% - the length of ECE training is not
Professional Development Since Graduation	adequate for child care directors
 Almost all respondents had been involved in professional development (PD) activities 	 32% - the minimum proportion of trained staff at each facility is not adequate What would be adequate?
 Of those who have been involved: 92% - attended workshops at an ECE conference 56% - had in-house PD at their workplace 46% - took a workshop or course at a college or university 41% - attended a PD opportunity provided by the local or provincial government 13% - presented a workshop on an ECE-related topic 	 For director training, most suggestions were to add specific courses (e.g., human resources management, financial management, communications, community resources), to increase the length of training to three or four years, and to require additional experience For minimum proportion of trained staff, most suggested that all staff be trained or actively engaged in training
	What Do You Expect to be Doing in 5 Years?
 Further Studies Since ECE Program 38% of respondents had gone on to do further studies Of these, 42% had completed these studies Most common fields of study: 27% - ECE and related 19% - Education 12% - Health (e.g., PT/OT assistant) 8% - Child and Youth Care 8% - Sign language Credential Upon Completion: 46% - Diploma 27% - Degree 23% - Certificate 4% - License 48% received some credit from their ECE program towards the further studies 	 What Do You Expect to be Doing in 5 Years? Responses ranked by frequency: 17% - Working directly with children in a child care centre 10% - Working as a regulated family child care provider 9% - Staying at home with my children 7% - Working as a supervisor in a child care centre 7% - Working in a field unrelated to ECE 4% - Working at a nursery school or preschool 4% - Working in a family resource program or Resource and Referral agency 2% - Studying to further my ECE education 2% - Studying to further my education in another field 12% - Multiple response (i.e., unsure) 14% - Other, such as: Operating own child care facility Working with special needs children

Memberships in Organizations

- > 25% Canadian Child Care Federation
- 7% Child Care Advocacy Association of Canada
- 26% Provincial/territorial child care association
- 24% Union
- > 11% Other ELCC-related organization
- > 38% None checked

Gross Annual Income

Median income category for those working in an ECE-related job for 30 or more hours per week: \$20,000 to \$24,999



3. NOTABLE TRENDS

The small sample size in the student follow-up survey presented very limited opportunities to conduct reliable sub-group comparisons. Even at the whole-group level, making inferences with a sample of 72 respondents could only be done with the explicit acknowledgment that all results had at best a precision of approximately +/- 10%. Therefore, the only sub-group comparisons that were reported were those between younger (under 30 years old) and older (30 years old or more) respondents – and these results should be considered as very tentative.

Preparation to Work with Various Groups

There was a consistent age trend in perceived preparation to work with various groups – younger respondents felt less prepared than older respondents. As Figures 1 to 4 below show, this was true with regards to children with special needs, parents, other adults in the work environment, and other professionals. The only group where the difference was negligible was working with typical children. This age trend is interesting because a similar age trend was not found three years earlier in the Labour Market Update study.



Figure 1. How Well Training Prepared to Work with Children With Special Needs, by Age Group



Figure 2. How Well Prepared to Work With Parents, by Age Group

Figure 3. How Well Prepared to Work With Other Adults, by AgeGroup



Figure 4. How Well Prepared to Work in Partnership With Other Professionals, by Age Group



Perceived Adequacy of Different Aspects of the Curriculum

Respondents were asked about 24 different aspects of the ECE curriculum, and were asked to rate their agreement that the curriculum provided them with adequate skills in each aspect. Out of the 24 aspects, there were six where there were notable differences by age group (see Figures 5 to 10). There were five aspects where older respondents tended to be more positive than younger respondents: communicating effectively with children, making appropriate referrals, managing finances, managing human resources, and interpreting research findings. There was one aspect where younger respondents were more positive than older respondents: working as part of a team.



Figure 5. Curriculum Provided Adequate SKills in Communicating

Figure 6. Curriculum Provided Adequate Skills in Making Appropriate Referrals When Necessary, by Age Group





Figure 7. Curriculum Provided Adequate Skills in Working as Part of a Team, by Age Group

■ Strongly agree ■ Somewhat agree ■ Somewhat disagree ■ Strongly disagree

Figure 8. Curriculum Provided Adequate Skills in Managing Finances, by Age Group



Figure 9. Curriculum Provided Adequate Skills in Managing Human Resources, by Age Group





Figure 10. Curriculum Provided Adequate Skills in Interpreting Research Findings, by Age Group

Ease or Difficulty Finding a Job after Graduation

When the students were surveyed in 2003 as part of the Labour Market Update study, older students were more likely than younger students to feel that finding a job after graduation would be difficult. They felt this way despite the fact that 56% of older students were already working in an ECE-related job while attending school, compared to 37% of younger students. As Table 1 below shows, while few respondents overall reported difficulty finding a job in the ECE field, it was actually easier for older students, largely because most of them were working in the same workplace as they were during their training.

Table 1. Percentage of Respondents - Ease or Difficulty Finding aJob in the ECE Field, by Age Group				
	Under 30	30 or older		
Already had an ECE-related job	37.5	73.9		
Very easy	40.0	8.7		
Somewhat easy	17.5	13.0		
Somewhat difficult	5.0	4.3		
Very difficult	0.0	0.0		

Annual Income

The older students in the 2003 Labour Market Update survey expected to earn more upon graduation – 62% expected to earn more than \$14 per hour, vs. 43% of younger students. The results of the follow-up survey (see Table 2 below) suggest that these expectations have been only partially fulfilled. While there were a greater percentage of older respondents earning \$30,000 or more annually (33% vs. 22% for younger respondents), both younger and older respondents had a median income of \$20,000 to \$24,999.

Table 2. Percentage of Respondents in Each IncomeCategory, by Age Group				
	Under 30	30 or older		
Under \$20,000	24.4	29.2		
\$20,000 to \$24,999	34.1	29.2		
\$25,000 to \$29,999	19.5	8.3		
\$30,000 to \$34,999	17.1	25.0		
\$35,000 to \$39,999	4.9	4.2		
\$40,000 to \$49,999	0.0	0.0		
\$50,000 or higher	0.0	4.2		

4. CONCLUDING COMMENTS

Given the small sample size of respondents, any trends identified or conclusions drawn must be treated with caution. The best use of these survey results is to consider them in the context of all of the other results in the CCHRSC Training Strategy Project and other recent training-related research.

There were four key areas in which findings from this survey were consistent with the findings of the other surveys conducted for this project – the 2006 Student Survey and the Faculty Survey.

- Faculty, students and graduates all agreed that upon graduation students are quite well or very well-prepared to work with typical children (close to 100%)
- All agreed that students were less well-prepared to work with children with special needs and this was the area of least preparedness; however about 30% of faculty and students responded that students were not prepared, compared to just over 20% of respondents to the Follow-up Survey
- The second area in which students were less well-prepared was working with other professionals; however, respondents to the Follow-up Survey felt better prepared to work with professionals (74%) than the percentage of faculty who felt students were prepared (48%)
- There was common agreement that areas in which the curriculum does not provide students with adequate skills, including
 - Financial management
 - Human resources management
 - o Conducting and interpreting research
 - Making appropriate referrals

It is not clear that the first three items are skills necessary for new graduates; however, since many respondents were already working in the field at the time they were enrolled in the ECE program, it does point to the need to examine the different needs of ECE students with and without previous ECE experience.

One key area where responses in the Faculty Survey differed from the Follow-up Survey was the perceived adequacy of the regulations related to training.

- 15% of respondents to the Follow-up Survey thought the length of training for front-line staff was not adequate, compared to 33% in the Faculty Survey
- 43% of respondents to the Follow-up Survey thought the length of training for directors was not adequate, compared to 69% in the Faculty Survey
- 32% of respondents to the Follow-up Survey thought the minimum proportion of trained staff required at each facility was not adequate, compared to 57% in the Faculty Survey

In the 2006 Student Survey, a number of respondents suggested that a different program be available for students with prior child care experience than for those with no experience. In the Follow-up Survey, close to 74% of respondents over 30 already had a job in an ECE-related setting when they were enrolled in their ECE program, compared to 37.5% of respondents under 30. It was interesting to note that in the Follow-up Survey it appeared that a greater percentage of respondents over 30 felt that in almost all areas, the curriculum provided them with the necessary skills than did the respondents under 30.

In the sample, 72% of all respondents were working in an ECE-related job, and 91% of those were working in a regulated child care setting. These percentages are higher than those found in other studies. For example, in the National Graduate Survey for students leaving their programs in 1995, only 55% of ECE students were working as early childhood educators and assistants two years later. Given the small sample in the current survey, it is difficult to discern how much of this discrepancy is due to a bias in the current self-selected sample or to a true difference. Even though the majority of the graduates are currently working in regulated child care, about 38% indicated that they expect to be working in some capacity in a regulated setting in five years, with 17% indicating that they expect to be working directly with children in a child care centre.

Again, it must be emphasized that any conclusions made from these results are tentative, and that they will only be used to inform further work of the Training Strategy Project.

Appendix 1: ECE Student Follow-up Questionnaire

This questionnaire is part of the Training Strategy Project being undertaken for the Child Care Human Resources Sector Council (CCHRSC) and is being funded by Human Resources and Social Development Canada - Human Resources Partnerships. The CCHRSC is a pan-Canadian 18-member, formalized mechanism through which child care organizations, labour organizations and constituents of the child care workforce address human resource issues through sectoral perspectives and analyses.

Your participation in this survey is extremely valuable. We are conducting a follow-up to the Labour Market Update ECE student survey, which you completed in 2003. We are undertaking this survey to gather information about what you have done since completing your ECE, your views and attitudes about your ECE training and about early learning and child care as a career. The information you provide will assist the CCHRSC to understand the human resources issues in the early childhood education and care sector.

Your participation in this survey is entirely voluntary, and you may withdraw your participation at any time. It should take you about 20 minutes to complete the survey. The individual questionnaires will only be made available to the project researchers and your responses will remain confidential. All of the responses will only be reported as group data.

If you have any questions about the Training Strategy Project, please contact either of the two principal investigators, Jane Beach (janebeach@shaw.ca) or Kathleen Flanagan (kathleen.flanagan@yahoo.ca).

ECE Student Follow up Questionnaire

Instructions: In this questionnaire, please mark all appropriate circles by filling them in, or by marking with a \checkmark or an \times . Where there are lines, write in the information as appropriate.

1. What is the name of the college or university where you were an ECE student in 2003?	5. What are the main reasons you are not currently working in ECE? (check all that apply)
 2. What credential did you receive at the end of your program? O Certificate O Diploma O (in Québec) AEC or DEC O I did not complete the ECE program 	 I could not find ECE-related work I could not find a job in ECE that paid enough I could only find part-time or contract work in ECE I decided I did not want to work in ECE I am continuing my education I worked in an ECE job and did not like it Personal circumstances (family, health, etc) Other (please specify)
 3. Are you currently working for pay? O No O Yes 3a. What is the main reason you are not working? O I am continuing my education O I am on maternity/parental leave from my job O I was laid off 	 6. Please describe your current job. O Currently not employed – SKIP TO #8
 O I have been unable to find suitable employment O Personal circumstances (e.g., health, family) O Other (please specify) 4. Which of the following best describes your ECE-related employment since leaving the program? (check one only) 	 7. How much do you think your ECE studies helped you get this job? O Very much O Somewhat O Not very much O Not at all
 I am currently working in an ECE-related setting -SKIP TO #10 I have worked in an ECE-related setting since leaving the ECE program, but not currently I have not worked in an ECE-related setting since leaving the ECE program - SKIP TO #25 	7a. (If "very much" or "somewhat") How did your ECE studies help you to get this job?

8. How likely is it that you	will work in an ECE-related job
in the future?	

- Very likely
- **O** Somewhat likely
- Somewhat unlikely
- **O** Very unlikely
- 9. What are the reasons for your answer to the previous question?

SKIP TO #17

- 10. In which ECE-related setting are you currently working? (check all that apply)
 - **O** In a full-day child care centre
 - \bigcirc In Kindergarten
 - In Pre-Kindergarten or Junior Kindergarten in the public school system
 - In Pre-Kindergarten or Junior Kindergarten in the early childhood system
 - **O** In a school age only child care program
 - In a nursery school or preschool
 - **O** As a regulated family/home child care provider
 - **O** As an unregulated family/home child care provider
 - In a family/home child care agency / resource and referral program
 - As a classroom assistant in the school system
 - **O** In a family resource program
 - Specifically with children with special needs
 - In a licensing or monitoring role (with government or municipality)
 - O Other (please specify)

11. How many months in the year do you work at your current job?

____ months

12. How many hours per week do you work at your current job?

_____ hours per week

- 13. Is this job permanent or temporary (e.g., filling in for maternity or other leave; working as a substitute)?
 - **O** Permanent
 - **O** Temporary
 - **O** Other (please specify)
- 14. What are your normal job responsibilities in your current position? (check all that apply)
 - **O** Working directly with children
 - Supervising staff
 - Administrative duties
 - **O** Support duties (cooking, cleaning, etc.)
 - O Other (please specify)
- 14a. If you work directly with children, with which age groups do you primarily work? (check all that apply)
 - **O** Infants
 - **O** Toddlers
 - **O** Preschool age children
 - **O** School age children
 - O Mixed age groupings
 - ${\bf O}~$ The age groups vary
 - O N/A I don't work directly with children

15. Do you have more than one job? (check all that apply) 17b. If yes, did any aspects of your job change (if at all) once you completed your ECE training? (check all that apply) O No - SKIP TO #16 **O** Yes, during the same time of year as my ECE-related job • No aspects of my job changed. **O** Yes, during a different time of year than my ECE-related job • I received a pay increase. **O** I was promoted to a position with more responsibility. **O** I changed to a different, more preferred position. 15a. If yes, how many hours a week do you work at this second job? • O Other (please specify) hours a week 15b. What type of work is this second job? 18. How easy or difficult was it for you to find a job in the ECE field after completing or leaving your ECE program? O I already had an ECE-related job while in school - SKIP TO #20 16. In general, how useful have the knowledge and skills you gained in **O** Very easy your ECE program been in performing your ECE-related job (or **O** Somewhat easy primary ECE-related job, if you have 2 or more)? **O** Somewhat difficult **O** Very difficult **O** Very useful • Somewhat useful 19. How long did you actively look for an ECE position after O Not very useful graduating? • Not at all useful **O** Less than one month 17. Were you working for pay in an ECE-related job before or **O** 1 to 2 months during your ECE training? (check one only) \mathbf{O} 3 to 4 months • More than 4 months **O** No – **SKIP TO #18 O** Yes, before my ECE training only **O** Yes, during my ECE training only **O** Yes, both before and during my ECE training 17a. If yes, are you currently working at the same place as you were working before or during your ECE training? **O** No – **SKIP TO #18**

O Yes

20. As a result of your ECE training with each of the following groups		well prepa	ared are	you to w	vork	21 (cont). How strongly do you agree	with tl	he follov	ving sta	tements:	
	Verv	Somewhat prepared	Not so prepared O	Not at all prepared O	N/A O	The ECE curriculum at my college pr			-	ate skills Strongly Disagree	
B. With children with special needs	0	0	Ο	Ο	0	I. Communicate effectively with	\mathbf{O}	Agree L	nsagree O	Olsagree	N/A O
C. With parents	0	0	Ο	Ο	Ο	other adults					
D. With other adults in your work environment	0	О	0	0	0	J. Make appropriate referrals when necessary	0	0	0	0	0
E. In partnership with other social	0	0	Ο	Ο	0	K. Engage in self-reflective practice	0	0	0	0	0
service, health, and educational						L. Demonstrate analytical capacity	0	0	0	0	0
professionals						M. Demonstrate creative problem-solving	0	0	0	О	0
21. How strongly do you agree with	the fo	llowing s	tatement	ts:		N. Manage projects	0	О	О	Ο	0
The ECE curriculum at my college	provic	led me wi	th adeau	ate skill	s to:	O. Work as part of a team	0	0	О	0	О
	-		-			P. Manage finances	0	0	0	Ο	0
A. Protect the safety, health, A	gree	omewhat S Agree 1	Disagree	Disagree	N/A O	Q. Manage human resources	0	0	0	0	0
and well-being of children			•	•	•	R. Write reports	0	0	0	0	0
B. Plan developmentally	0	О	0	Ο	0	S. Conduct research	0	0	0	0	0
appropriate programs						T. Interpret research findings	0	0	0	0	0
C. Recognize delays and challenges in children	0	0	0	0	0	U. Maintain an awareness of policy issues impact on the Early Learning	0	0	0	0	0
D. Implement inclusive programmin	ng O	0	О	0	0	and Child Care profession	~	~	-	~	-
E. Understand child development	0	Ο	0	О	0	V. Participate in community action on Early Learning and Child	0	Q	0	0	0
F. Guide children's behaviour	0	Ο	О	0	0	Care-related social issues					
G. Communicate effectively with children	0	О	0	0	0	W. Reflect cultural competencies in practice	0	0	0	0	0
H. Communicate effectively with parents	0	0	0	0	0	X. Set up effective early learning environments to meet developmental needs of children	0	0	О	0	О

22. Looking back, are there other areas where you would like to have had additional training to feel better prepared to work in early childhood care and education? (please list)	 25. Since completing your ECE program, have you taken or are you taking any further studies? O No O Yes 25a. In what field of study?
 23. Since graduating have you been involved in any professional development activities? No Yes 23a. What kind of professional development activities? (check all that apply) Attended workshops at an ECE conference Had in-house professional development at my place of work Took a workshop or course at a college or university Attended a professional development opportunity provided by the local or or provincial government Presented a workshop on an ECE-related topic Other (please specify) 24. How many different ECE-related programs have you worked in since you graduated? One Two or three More than three 	25b. At which institution?

27. Looking to the future, what do you expect to be doing five years from now? (choose one of the following)	30. When you were growing up, what language was most commonly spoken in your home?
 Working directly with children in a child care centre Working as a supervisor in a child care centre Working in a nursery school / preschool centre Working as an unregulated family child care provider Working as a regulated family child care provider Working in a family resource program / resource and referral agency Working as a classroom assistant in the school system Working as a kindergarten teacher in the public school system Working as a Pre-Kindergarten or Junior Kindergarten teacher in the school system Working as a Pre-Kindergarten or Junior Kindergarten teacher in the early childhood system Working as a teacher in other grade levels in the school system Working in a field unrelated to early childhood education Staying at home with my children Studying at a post-secondary institution to further my education in early childhood Studying a post-secondary institution in another field Other (please specify) 	 English French Other (please specify)
 29. What is your age? O Under 25 O 40-44 O 25-29 O 45-49 O 30-34 O 50 and over O 35-39 	 33. What is your approximate gross annual income (including any wage subsidies, bonuses or educational supplements if you are working in an ECE-related job)? O Less than \$20,000 O \$35,000 to \$39,999 O \$20,000 to \$24,999 O \$25,000 to \$29,999 O \$25,000 to \$29,999 O \$30,000 to \$34,999

Thank you for completing the survey.

If you want to be entered into the draw for the \$200 Future Shop gift certificate, please provide the following contact information. This information will be kept separate from your responses to the survey to protect your anonymity.

Name:

Address:

Email: